1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
6	* * *	
7	CITIBANK, N.A.,	Case No. 2:18-CV-765 JCM (NJK)
8	Plaintiff(s),	ORDER
9	v.	
10	RANCHO LAS BRISAS MASTER HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, et al.,	
11	Defendant(s).	
12		
13	Drasantly hafare the court is the motter of	f Citihank NA v Pancho Las Brisas Masta
14	Presently before the court is the matter of <i>Citibank</i> , <i>N.A. v. Rancho Las Brisas Maste Homeowners Association</i> , <i>et al.</i> , case number 2:18-cv-00765-JCM-NJK. On February 25, 2020	
15	cross-claimant SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC ("SFR") filed a notice of voluntary dismissal	
16		
17	(ECF No. 51). Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a) provides as follows:	
18	(1) By the Plaintiff.	
19	(A) Without a Court Order. Subject to Rules 23(e), 23.1(c), 23.2, and 66 and any applicable federal statute, the plaintiff may dismiss	
20	an action without a court order by filing:	
21	(i) a notice of dismissal <b>before the opposing party serves either an answer</b> or a motion for summary judgment; or	
22	(ii) a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have	
23	appeared.	
24	(B) Effect. Unless the notice or stipulation states otherwise, the	
25	dismissal is without prejudice. But if the plaintiff previously dismissed any federal- or state-court action based on or including the same claim, a notice of dismissal operates as an adjudication on	
26	the merits.	
27	(2) By Court Order; Effect. Except as provided in Rule 41(a)(1), an action may be dismissed at the plaintiff's request only by court order, on terms that the court considers proper. If a defendant has pleaded a counterclaim before being served with the plaintiff's	
28		

motion to dismiss, the action may be dismissed over the 1 defendant's objection only if the counterclaim can remain pending for independent adjudication. Unless the order states otherwise, a 2 dismissal under this paragraph (2) is without prejudice. 3 Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a). 4 SFR purports to dismiss its crossclaims against Negasi Habtezion Gerezgiher and Saba 5 W. Haile ("cross-defendants"). (ECF No. 51). However, cross-defendants each filed an answer, 6 so Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1) does not apply here. (ECF Nos. 44; 45). Both cross-defendants 7 indicate in their answer that they filed bankruptcy and no longer have any claim to the property. 8 (ECF Nos. 44; 45). 9 Consequently, the court finds that dismissal of SFR's crossclaims without prejudice is 10 appropriate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2). 11 Accordingly, 12 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that SFR's crossclaims be, 13 and the same hereby is, DISMISSED without prejudice. 14 DATED February 26, 2020. 15 Xellus C. Mahan 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28